

Topeka Tower, Suite 1400 534 South Kansas Ave. Topeka, Kansas 66603-3436 785.233.3600 Fax 785.233.1610

> LexiMundi World Ready

David M. Traster 316.291.9725 866.347.3138 Fax dtraster@foulston.com ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1551 N. Waterfront Parkway, Suite 100 Wichita, Kansas 67206-4466 316.267.6371 www.foulston.com 32 Corporate Woods, Suite 600 9225 Indian Creek Parkway Overland Park, Kansas 66210-2000 913.498.2100 Fax 913.498.2101



September 18, 2018

Sent Via Email: <u>David.Barfield@ks.gov</u>
David Barfield, PE
Chief Engineer
Division of Water Resources
Kansas Department of Agriculture
1320 Research Park Drive
Manhattan, Kansas 66502-5000

Re: Hays/Russell R9 Ranch Change Applications

Dear David,

We have the August 14, 2018 letter from Orrin Feril transmitting the GMD5 Board's recommendation that you conduct a site-specific net consumptive use analysis. We appreciate the offer to give us additional time to respond but do not believe that will be necessary.

As we pointed out in our August 6, 2018 letter, K.A.R. 5-5-9(c), permits a "site-specific net consumptive use analysis" if the quantity resulting from the normal procedure "appears to be unrealistic *and* could result in impairment of other water rights." (Emphasis added.) The GMD has provided no evidence to support either requirement.

We have previously addressed the arguments raised by Keller, Balleau, and GMD5 but even if Keller's analysis was (a) in accord with the statute and regulations and (b) scientifically accurate, he merely suggests that approving the Change Applications might result in a lower water table elevation. Keller and the GMD ignore the fact that K.S.A. 82a-708b allows changes without losing priority of right. There is no evidence that the proposed changes would impair water rights that are senior to the water rights on the R9 Ranch—nor do Keller or the GMD even suggest that approving the Change Applications could potentially cause impairment. Moreover, direct impairment is very unlikely because the changes will result in fewer well locations, adequate well spacing, and reduced pumping rates.

The BMcD model and the extensive analysis of the Change Applications and the DWR files has demonstrated that the changes set out in the current draft of the Master

Order are in full compliance with the Water Appropriation Act, the Groundwater Management District Act, and DWR's rules and regulations. There is no credible evidence that the changes could result in impairment of water rights that are senior to the water rights on the R9 Ranch and no indication that the changes appear to be unrealistic.

Very truly yours,

FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP

David M. Traster

C: Aaron Oleen Toby Dougherty Jon Quinday