

**Kansas Administrative Regulations
Economic Impact Statement
For the Kansas Division of the Budget**

Kansas Dept of Agriculture
Agency

Ronda Hutton
Agency Contact

(785) 564-6715
Contact Phone Number

K.A.R. 9-2-35
K.A.R. Number(s)

Submit a hard copy of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and any external documents that the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) would adopt, along with the following to: Division of the Budget
900 SW Jackson, Room 504-N
Topeka, KS 66612

I. Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

Establish the interstate entry requirements for sexually intact cattle or bison from Designated Surveillance Areas (DSA) into Kansas

II. Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) is mandated by the federal government and a statement if approach chosen to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. (If the approach is different, then include a statement of why the Kansas rule and regulation proposed is different)

This regulation will require cattle or bison being imported from DSAs to be officially identified. Currently, the United States Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Animal Disease Traceability rule requires animals to be identified only if they are aged 18 months or older. However, this regulation does not require anything beyond what origination states commonly require for intrastate movement from DSAs.

III. Agency analysis specifically addressing following:

A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

This regulation should not restrict any business opportunities as the only requirement is that the animals being moved be identified and that information be provided to the state. Business opportunities may be enhanced because this regulation will make it easier to trace the spread of a contagious disease and potentially limit its overall impact on the livestock markets.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule and regulation and on the state economy as a whole;

None.

C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule and regulation;

Farmers and ranchers importing sexually intact cattle or bison from DSAs.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

MAY 07 2020

DIVISION OF THE BUDGET

D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

Cost is minimal or none for Kansas entities. Benefit is to ensure origin of cattle or bison is established as part of the import record and testing has been accomplished to prove negative status for those cattle or bison that pose the greatest risk exposing Kansas cattle herds to contagious diseases.

E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

Steps required for entry into Kansas will be responsibility of importing party.

F. An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

\$0

An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

\$0

Do the above total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period?

YES NO

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

Regulation compliance costs will be incurred by importing party. No expected financial impact on Kansas producers

Prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing if the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.

YES NO

G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the



League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.

The proposed regulation was mailed to the League of Kansas Municipalities, the Kansas Association of Counties and the Kansas Association of School Boards for review and comments. No comments have been received from any of the entities.

H. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

The proposed regulation was sent to Kansas livestock stakeholder associations such as Kansas Livestock Association (KLA) and Kansas Farm Bureau. It was presented at the KLA annual conference for review and discussion. It was presented to the Animal Health Advisory Board for review and comments.

I. For environmental rule(s) and regulation(s) describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).

Not applicable.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

MAY 07 2020

DIVISION OF THE BUDGET