



1320 Research Park Drive  
Manhattan, Kansas 66502

## **MINUTES OF THE STATE CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

1. The State Conservation Commission meeting was called to order by Rod Vorhees, Chairman and Area V Commissioner at 2:10 p.m., Sunday, November 23, 2014 at the Double Tree by Hilton Hotel Wichita Airport, Wichita, KS.

2. **ATTENDANCE:**

**Elected Commissioners:**

Ted Nighswonger, Area I Commissioner  
Andy Larson, Area II Commissioner  
Brad Shogren, Area III Commissioner  
John Wunder, Area IV Commissioner  
Rod Vorhees, Area V Commissioner

**Ex-Officio & Appointed Members:**

Eric Banks, State Conservationist, USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)  
Dan Devlin, Director, Kansas Center for Agricultural Resources and the Environment (KCARE), K-State Research and Extension  
Peter Tomlinson, Ph.D., Associate Professor, Extension Specialist for Environmental Quality Agronomy Department, Kansas State University (KSU)

**Division of Conservation, Kansas Department of Agriculture Staff:**

Greg Foley, Executive Director  
Scott Carlson, Mined Land Reclamation Program Manager  
Don Jones, Water Quality Program Manager  
Steve Frost, Water Conservation Programs Manager  
Dave Jones, Conservation District Coordinator  
Cathy Thompson, Program Consultant  
Kristin Miller, Administrative Specialist

## **SCC MEETING MINUTES**

**November 23, 2014**

**Page 2**

### **Guests:**

Herb Graves, State Association of Kansas Watersheds (SAKW)  
Earl Garber, National Association of Conservation Districts (NACD)  
Lori Kuykendall, Osage County Conservation District  
Karen Wiley, Douglas County Conservation District  
Doug Blex, Montgomery County Conservation District  
Pam Walker, Wilson County Conservation District  
Joyce Bracken, Wilson County Conservation District

### **3. ADDITIONS/CORRECTIONS TO AND APPROVAL OF AGENDA:**

#### **ADDITIONS:**

- 7. b.** Senate Bill 147 Summary.
- 8. d.** Review prior year FY 2012 and FY 2013 cost-share cancellation policy recommendations.
- 8. e.** Update on Irrigation Soil Probe Demonstration Project.
- 9. f.** Consideration of funding a CSIMS functional enhancement.
- 9. g.** Update on the 2015 Fair Booth – Carlson.

**Motion by Brad Shogren to approve the amended agenda. Seconded by Ted Nighswonger. Motion carried.**

### **4. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING:**

**Motion by Ted Nighswonger to approve the September 11, 2014 minutes, as mailed. Seconded by Andy Larson. Motion carried.**

### **5. COMMENTS FROM GUESTS:**

- a. Herb Graves. – Herb discussed Kansas Senate Bill 147.

### **6. FINANCIAL REPORT**

Overview of the FY 2015 first quarter financial report – Frost. – See Attachment A.

**Motion by Ted Nighswonger to approve the FY 2015 first quarter financial report. Seconded by John Wunder. Motion carried.**

## SCC MEETING MINUTES

November 23, 2014

Page 3

### 7. COMMUNICATIONS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS:

- a. Kansas Comments: Waters of the U.S. (WOTUS).
- b. Senate Bill 147 Summary.

### 8. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

- a. Review KACD Convention roles and responsibilities for commissioners and staff – Carlson.
- b. Review and take possible action on recommendations for the Buffer Initiative for FY 2016 and beyond - Don Jones. - See Attachment B.

**Motion by John Wunder to modify the rules and regulations of the Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative as presented in the attached handout. Seconded by Brad Shogren. Motion carried.**

- c. Update on poultry litter storage sites research project – Tomlinson.

On October 15, 2014, the equipment went operational. They have yet to have a rainfall event, therefore there is no data. There will be another site operational by this spring. Once everything is operational and there is data to share, a graduate student will present the information to the Commission.

- d. Review prior year FY 2012 and FY 2013 cost-share cancellation policy recommendations – Staff.
  - Cancel all FY 2012 LWM encumber cost-share contracts in the Non-Point Source Pollution Control Program (NPS) and reallocate FY 2015 cost-share to those districts to complete FY 2015 contracts.

**Motion by John Wunder to cancel all FY 2012 LWM encumbered cost-share contracts in the Non-Point Source Pollution Control Program (NPS) and reallocate FY 2015 NPS cost-share funds to those districts to complete FY 2015 contracts. Seconded by Ted Nighswonger. Motion carried.**

- Extend all FY 2013 encumbered cost-share contracts in the Non-Point Source Pollution Control Program and Water Resources Cost-share Program until June 1, 2015 subject to the encumbered funds being available.

**Motion by Ted Nighswonger to extend all FY 2013 encumbered cost-share contracts in the Non-Point Source Pollution Control and Water Resources cost-share programs until June 1, 2015 subject to the encumbered funds being available. Seconded by Andy Larson. Motion carried.**

## SCC MEETING MINUTES

November 23, 2014

Page 4

- e. Update on Irrigation Soil Probe Demonstration Project – Foley. – See Attachment C.

**Motion by Brad Shogren to fund no more than \$6,969 with K-State Research and Extension for the Irrigation Soil Probe Demonstration Project in Meade and Seward counties. Seconded by Ted Nighswonger. Motion carried.**

### 9. NEW BUSINESS

- a. Review the 2015 Spring Workshops dates and locations - Jones. – See Attachment D.

Area I – March 3, American Legion Hall, Grainfield.

Area II – March 4, KSU Experiment Station, Garden City.

Area III – March 5, NRCS Conference Center, Salina.

Area IV – March 10, KDA Conference Room, Manhattan.

Area V – March 11, Old Iron Club, Fredonia.

- b. Overview of the FY 2016 and FY 2017 Division of Conservation budget – Frost.

- c. Authorize Commissioner(s) and Staff Travel.

**Motion by Peter Tomlinson to approve John Wunder, Andy Larson, and Greg Foley to attend the 2015 NACD Annual Convention in New Orleans, LA, February 1-4, 2015. Seconded by Brad Shogren. Motion carried.**

- d. Review TMDL targeted watersheds and possible additional TMDL watersheds – Jones. - See Attachment E.

**Motion by Ted Nighswonger to add three additional targeted TMDL watersheds for funding in the Water Resources and Non-Point Source Pollution Control cost-share programs in FY 2015 as presented in the attached handout. Seconded by Brad Shogren. Motion carried.**

- e. Review KACD resolutions – Carlson. – See Attachment F.

- i. **Budget**

- f. Consideration of funding a CSIMS functional enhancement – Carlson.

**Motion by Ted Nighswonger to approve a contract with Webelan, an associate of A.S.K. Associates, Inc. to install .NET 4.5 to replace .NET 2.050727 in the amount of \$33,000 out of all of the FY 2015 programs. Seconded by John Wunder. Motion carried.**

- g. Update on the 2015 Fair Booth – Carlson.

## SCC MEETING MINUTES

November 23, 2014

Page 5

### 10. REPORTS:

#### a. Agency Reports:

- i. NRCS – Eric Banks. See Attachment G.
- ii. KCARE/KWRI - Dan Devlin. Dan discussed the Governor’s Conference on the Future of Water in Kansas. He has not had a chance to review the evaluations, comments, and suggestions.
- iii. K-State Agronomy – Peter Tomlinson. – See Attachment H. Peter stated that they have been conducting interviews to fill vacancies for his department. He also reported that Kansas has been invited to join the Midwest Cover Crops Council. There is a \$5,000 onetime fee to join, \$2,500 of which has been secured through K-State Research and Extension.

**Motion by Ted Nighswonger to fund Kansas with KSU to join the Midwest Cover Crop Council at the cost of \$2,500 of the total cost of \$5,000. Seconded by John Wunder. Motion carried.**

#### b. Conservation document submittal summary – Thompson.

#### c. Staff reports:

- i. Governor’s Mined Land Reclamation Award – Carlson. – The 2014 award winner is Hamm Quarries, LLC for the Overbrook, Gloss Quarry 6 site.
- ii. Conservation District New Employee Training – Jones. – See Attachment I.

#### d. Commissioner Reports:

- i. Area I – Ted Nighswonger. Reported that it is dry again.
- ii. Area II – Andy Larson. None.
- iii. Area III – Brad Shogren. Reported that they are finalizing the river stabilization plans and the irrigation districts. He also attended the Governor’s Conference on the Future of Water in Kansas and thought it was very beneficial.
- iv. Area IV – John Wunder. None.
- v. Area V – Rod Vorhees. None.

**SCC MEETING MINUTES**

**November 23, 2014**

**Page 6**

**11. ADJOURN:**

The next regular commission meeting is scheduled for Monday, January 12, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. at the Kansas Department of Agriculture, 1320 Research Park Drive, Manhattan, Kansas.

**Motion by Andy Larson to adjourn. Seconded by John Wunder. Motion carried.  
Meeting adjourned at 6:58 p.m.**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Greg A. Foley". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "G" and a circular flourish at the end.

Greg A. Foley  
Executive Director

**DRAFT DIVISION OF CONSERVATION, KDA - FY 2015 QUARTERLY FINANCIAL REPORT**  
**JULY 1, 2014 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2014**

	PROGRAM/FUND	INDEX	Appropriation/ Allocation	Total Expenditures	% of Funds Expended	Funds		UNCOMMITTED BALANCE
						Committed/		
						Encumbered-Contingent		
<b>1.</b>	<b>STATE GENERAL FUND - 1000</b>							
	a. Office Operations	0053	484,447.00	139,949.03	28.9%	0.00		344,497.97
	<b>TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND</b>		<b>\$484,447.00</b>	<b>\$139,949.03</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>		<b>\$344,497.97</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>STATE WATER PLAN FUND - 1800</b>							
	a. Water Resources Cost-Share							
	(1) Office Operations-OOE		140,510.00	17,802.25	12.7%	0.00		122,707.75
	(2) Programming Services - CSIMS Task Order 2015-1008		25,000.00	0.00	0.0%	25,000.00		0.00
	(3) Claims - CSIMS WR Cost-Share Assistance		2,176,319.00	193,483.55	8.9%	1,312,291.59		670,543.86
	<b>WR - TOTAL</b>	<b>1205</b>	<b>2,341,829.00</b>	<b>211,285.80</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>1,337,291.59</b>		<b>793,251.61</b>
	b. Non Point Source Pollution Control							
	(1) Office Operations - OOE		3,000.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00		3,000.00
	(2) Capital Outlay - CSIMS Oracle Database Processor		63,745.00	63,745.00	100.0%	0.00		0.00
	(3) Programming Services - CSIMS Task Order 2015-1008		25,000.00	0.00	0.0%	25,000.00		0.00
	(4) Professional Services - Conservation Technician Positions		200,000.00	82,108.48	41.1%	79,449.57		38,441.95
	(5) Fees - Training & Workshop No-Till Registration		22,500.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00		22,500.00
	(6) Professional Services - No-Till I&E Funds		20,000.00	5,351.02	26.8%	0.00		14,648.98
	(7) Engineering Services - TWI - Cottonwood River		8,985.46	0.00	0.0%	8,985.46		0.00
	(8) Claims - CSIMS NPS Cost-Share Assistance		1,884,706.99	223,754.07	11.9%	924,683.20		736,269.72
	<b>NPS - TOTAL</b>	<b>1210</b>	<b>2,227,937.45</b>	<b>374,958.57</b>	<b>16.8%</b>	<b>1,038,118.23</b>		<b>814,860.65</b>
	c. Aid to Conservation Districts		2,096,093.47	1,930,477.67	92.1%	165,615.80		0.00
	<b>Aid to CD - TOTAL</b>	<b>1220</b>	<b>2,096,093.47</b>	<b>1,930,477.67</b>	<b>92.1%</b>	<b>165,615.80</b>		<b>0.00</b>
	d. CREP/WTAP							
	(1) Office Operations - OOE		92,465.00	24,171.50	26.1%	0.00		68,293.50
	(2) Claims - CSIMS CREP Cost-Share Assistance		424,777.21	0.00	0.0%	0.00		424,777.21
	(3) Claims - WTAP Projects		38,000.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00		38,000.00
	<b>CREP/WTAP - TOTAL</b>	<b>1225</b>	<b>555,242.21</b>	<b>24,171.50</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>0.00</b>		<b>531,070.71</b>
	e. Watershed Dam Construction							
	(1) Claims - Watershed Dam Cost-Share Assistance		99,025.00	0.00	0.0%	99,025.00		0.00
	(2) Claims - Watershed Dam Rehabilitation		484,220.00	35,207.70	0.0%	449,012.30		0.00
	<b>WATERSHED PROGRAM - TOTAL</b>	<b>1240</b>	<b>583,245.00</b>	<b>35,207.70</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>548,037.30</b>		<b>0.00</b>
	f. KS Water Quality Buffer Initiative							
	(1) Claims - CSIMS Buffer Cost-Share Assistance		290,691.68	143.71	0.0%	231,059.82		59,488.15
	<b>BUF - TOTAL</b>	<b>1250</b>	<b>290,691.68</b>	<b>143.71</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>231,059.82</b>		<b>59,488.15</b>
	g. Riparian and Wetland Protection							
	(1) Office Operations - OOE		300.00	150.00	0.0%	0.00		150.00
	(2) Claims - CSIMS RW Cost-Share Assistance		47,626.20	5,786.89	12.2%	0.00		41,839.31
	(3) Professional Services - KSU Riparian Forest Buffer Restoration		105,713.36	0.00	0.0%	105,713.36		0.00
	<b>RW - TOTAL</b>	<b>1260</b>	<b>153,639.56</b>	<b>5,936.89</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>105,713.36</b>		<b>41,989.31</b>
	h. Streambank Stabilization							
	(1) Claims - CSIMS SS Cost-Share Assistance		525,000.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00		525,000.00
	(2) Engineering Services - TWI - Delaware River Phase III		225,000.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00		225,000.00
	<b>SS - TOTAL</b>	<b>1290</b>	<b>750,000.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.00</b>		<b>750,000.00</b>
	i. Lake Restoration - Water Supply Restoration	1275	258,156.00	0.00	0.0%	258,156.00		0.00
	<b>TOTAL - STATE WATER PLAN FUND</b>		<b>\$9,256,834.37</b>	<b>\$2,582,181.84</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>\$3,683,992.10</b>		<b>\$2,990,660.43</b>

**DRAFT DIVISION OF CONSERVATION, KDA - FY 2015 QUARTERLY FINANCIAL REPORT**  
**JULY 1, 2014 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2014**

FEE FUND PROGRAMS	Budget Unit	Fee Deposit Accounts	Total Expenditures	% of Funds Expended	Funds		Cash Flow
					Committed/		
					Encumbered-Contingent		
1. AG Lime Program - 2118	1200	63,157.49	60.18	0.1%	0.00		63,097.31
2. KDWP&T - Conservation Technicians - 2517	2510	75,000.00	67,500.00	90.0%	0.00		7,500.00
3. KDHE - Conservation Technicians - 2517	2515	50,000.00	45,000.00	90.0%	0.00		5,000.00
4. LAND RECLAMATION FEE FUND - 2542	2090	86,800.00	36,974.31	42.6%	0.00		49,825.69
5. KDHE/EPA - FEDERAL INDIRECT FUNDS - 3889	(ON THIS ACCOUNT-MONEY IS DEPOSITED AS REPORTS ARE SUBMITTED TO KDHE)				REMAINING BAL TO REQUEST		
a. KDHE/Buffer Indirect Funds	3705	17,133.05	0.00	0.0%	0.00		17,133.05
b. KDHE/NPS Indirect Funds	3705	4,500.00	0.00	0.0%	0.00		4,500.00
<b>TOTAL KDHE-INDIRECT FUNDS - 3889</b>		<b>21,633.05</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.00</b>		<b>21,633.05</b>
6. NRCS CONTRIBUTION AGREEMENTS - 3917	(ON THIS ACCOUNT-MONEY IS DEPOSITED AS REQUESTED FROM NRCS)				REMAINING BAL TO REQUEST		
a. NRCS/NPS Conservation Tech	3825	58,146.33	(7,509.19)	-12.9%	0.00		65,655.52
<b>TOTAL NRCS-TA/ENGINEERING - 3825</b>		<b>58,146.33</b>	<b>(7,509.19)</b>	<b>-12.9%</b>	<b>0.00</b>		<b>65,655.52</b>
b. NRCS/WQ Indirect Funds	3800	53,739.71	0.00	0.0%	0.00		53,739.71
<b>TOTAL NRCS-INDIRECT FUNDS - 3800</b>		<b>53,739.71</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.00</b>		<b>53,739.71</b>
<b>TOTAL- NRCS FUNDS - 3917</b>		<b>111,886.04</b>	<b>(7,509.19)</b>	<b>-6.7%</b>	<b>0.00</b>		<b>119,395.23</b>
			<i>Total</i>	<i>Funds</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Cash Flow</i>	
		<i>Deposits</i>	<i>Expenditures</i>	<i>Expended</i>	<i>Encumbered</i>	<i>Balance</i>	
<b>FEE FUNDS GRAND TOTAL</b>		<b>\$408,476.58</b>	<b>\$142,025.30</b>	<b>34.8%</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$266,451.28</b>	
1. *HOSPITALITY FUND - 1000	0054	0.00	0.00	#DIV/0!	0.00		0.00

\*Paid under KDA Budget

## FY 2015 NPS AREA REPORT

Area	County	Fund	Allocation	Committed	Paid	Uncommitted
Area 1	Cheyenne	NPS_NPS	\$10,902.00	\$4,223.68	\$2,500.00	\$4,178.32
Area 1	Decatur	NPS_NPS	\$12,514.00	\$12,514.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 1	Ellis	NPS_NPS	\$16,141.00	\$1,878.00	\$6,500.00	\$7,763.00
Area 1	Gove	NPS_NPS	\$12,111.00	\$9,200.00	\$2,500.00	\$411.00
Area 1	Graham	NPS_NPS	\$15,335.00	\$12,547.03	\$2,787.97	\$0.00
Area 1	Logan	NPS_NPS	\$12,111.00	\$3,000.00	\$0.00	\$9,111.00
Area 1	Norton	AFO_NPS	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 1	Norton	NPS_NPS	\$16,141.00	\$6,681.00	\$9,460.00	\$0.00
Area 1	Osborne	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$5,987.00	\$10,960.00	\$0.00
Area 1	Phillips	AFO_NPS	\$20,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$20,000.00
Area 1	Phillips	NPS_NPS	\$16,141.00	\$4,146.24	\$0.00	\$11,994.76
Area 1	Rawlins	NPS_NPS	\$10,902.00	\$6,600.10	\$3,632.10	\$669.80
Area 1	Rooks	NPS_NPS	\$14,529.00	\$934.44	\$10,500.00	\$3,094.56
Area 1	Russell	NPS_NPS	\$16,141.00	\$11,095.20	\$2,998.60	\$2,047.20
Area 1	Sheridan	NPS_NPS	\$12,514.00	\$8,612.65	\$0.00	\$3,901.35
Area 1	Sherman	NPS_NPS	\$11,708.00	\$11,708.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 1	Smith	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$13,020.00	\$2,520.00	\$1,407.00
Area 1	Thomas	NPS_NPS	\$12,514.00	\$3,250.00	\$7,583.38	\$1,680.62
Area 1	Trego	NPS_NPS	\$16,141.00	\$11,341.00	\$4,800.00	\$0.00
Area 1	Wallace	NPS_NPS	\$12,111.00	\$8,089.28	\$360.90	\$3,660.82
Area 1	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	AFO_NPS	\$30,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	\$20,000.00
Area 1	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	NPS_NPS	\$251,850.00	\$134,827.62	\$67,102.95	\$49,919.43
<b>Area 1</b>	<b>Area Total</b>		<b>\$281,850.00</b>	<b>\$144,827.62</b>	<b>\$67,102.95</b>	<b>\$69,919.43</b>
Area 2	Clark	NPS_NPS	\$15,335.00	\$13,253.95	\$2,081.05	\$0.00
Area 2	Comanche	NPS_NPS	\$16,141.00	\$5,476.80	\$0.00	\$10,664.20
Area 2	Edwards	NPS_NPS	\$14,529.00	\$14,529.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 2	Finney	NPS_NPS	\$12,111.00	\$3,000.00	\$6,000.00	\$3,111.00
Area 2	Ford	NPS_NPS	\$14,529.00	\$14,529.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 2	Grant	NPS_NPS	\$11,708.00	\$11,708.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 2	Gray	NPS_NPS	\$12,111.00	\$7,000.00	\$4,400.00	\$711.00
Area 2	Greeley	NPS_NPS	\$11,305.00	\$9,594.00	\$0.00	\$1,711.00
Area 2	Hamilton	NPS_NPS	\$11,305.00	\$2,345.00	\$8,960.00	\$0.00
Area 2	Haskell	NPS_NPS	\$11,305.00	\$3,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$4,305.00
Area 2	Hodgeman	NPS_NPS	\$13,723.00	\$0.00	\$12,047.96	\$1,675.04
Area 2	Kearny	NPS_NPS	\$11,708.00	\$5,131.02	\$6,576.98	\$0.00
Area 2	Kiowa	NPS_NPS	\$16,141.00	\$13,866.00	\$2,275.00	\$0.00
Area 2	Lane	NPS_NPS	\$11,305.00	\$7,591.72	\$0.00	\$3,713.28
Area 2	Meade	NPS_NPS	\$12,111.00	\$12,111.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 2	Morton	NPS_NPS	\$11,708.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$11,708.00
Area 2	Ness	NPS_NPS	\$13,723.00	\$9,003.50	\$2,000.00	\$2,719.50
Area 2	Pawnee	NPS_NPS	\$16,141.00	\$6,198.00	\$7,500.00	\$2,443.00
Area 2	Rush	NPS_NPS	\$14,529.00	\$11,719.95	\$0.00	\$2,809.05
Area 2	Scott	NPS_NPS	\$11,708.00	\$11,078.00	\$630.00	\$0.00
Area 2	Seward	NPS_NPS	\$12,514.00	\$6,214.00	\$6,300.00	\$0.00

Area	County	Fund	Allocation	Committed	Paid	Uncommitted
Area 2	Stanton	NPS_NPS	\$10,902.00	\$7,980.00	\$0.00	\$2,922.00
Area 2	Stevens	NPS_NPS	\$11,708.00	\$4,925.00	\$5,808.50	\$974.50
Area 2	Wichita	NPS_NPS	\$11,708.00	\$5,000.00	\$3,000.00	\$3,708.00
Area 2	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	NPS_NPS	\$310,008.00	\$185,253.94	\$71,579.49	\$53,174.57
<b>Area 2</b>	<b>Area Total</b>		<b>\$310,008.00</b>	<b>\$185,253.94</b>	<b>\$71,579.49</b>	<b>\$53,174.57</b>
Area 3	Barber	NPS_NPS	\$16,141.00	\$8,500.00	\$7,000.00	\$641.00
Area 3	Barton	NPS_NPS	\$16,141.00	\$11,134.50	\$4,334.50	\$672.00
Area 3	Cloud	NPS_NPS	\$17,753.00	\$6,000.00	\$8,000.00	\$3,753.00
Area 3	Ellsworth	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$5,500.00	\$2,000.00	\$9,447.00
Area 3	Harper	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$12,220.80	\$4,312.84	\$413.36
Area 3	Harvey	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$4,500.00	\$4,500.00	\$7,947.00
Area 3	Jewell	NPS_NPS	\$17,753.00	\$8,618.72	\$3,975.00	\$5,159.28
Area 3	Kingman	NPS_NPS	\$17,753.00	\$6,000.00	\$10,626.75	\$1,126.25
Area 3	Lincoln	NPS_NPS	\$15,335.00	\$2,546.25	\$12,788.75	\$0.00
Area 3	McPherson	NPS_NPS	\$16,141.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,141.00
Area 3	Mitchell	NPS_NPS	\$15,335.00	\$6,688.40	\$1,778.49	\$6,868.11
Area 3	Ottawa	NPS_NPS	\$16,141.00	\$3,904.68	\$5,044.21	\$7,192.11
Area 3	Pratt	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$6,000.00	\$9,000.00	\$1,947.00
Area 3	Reno	NPS_NPS	\$19,365.00	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$15,365.00
Area 3	Republic	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$11,500.00	\$2,577.50	\$2,869.50
Area 3	Rice	NPS_NPS	\$15,335.00	\$805.00	\$0.00	\$14,530.00
Area 3	Saline	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$4,311.67	\$10,400.00	\$2,235.33
Area 3	Sedgwick	NPS_NPS	\$17,753.00	\$6,000.00	\$11,753.00	\$0.00
Area 3	Stafford	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$8,089.20	\$8,250.00	\$607.80
Area 3	Sumner	NPS_NPS	\$16,141.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$6,141.00
Area 3	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	NPS_NPS	\$335,716.00	\$119,319.22	\$113,341.04	\$103,055.74
<b>Area 3</b>	<b>Area Total</b>		<b>\$335,716.00</b>	<b>\$119,319.22</b>	<b>\$113,341.04</b>	<b>\$103,055.74</b>
Area 4	Atchison	NPS_NPS	\$19,365.00	\$11,533.68	\$3,000.00	\$4,831.32
Area 4	Brown	NPS_NPS	\$18,156.00	\$15,918.66	\$0.00	\$2,237.34
Area 4	Clay	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$7,904.40	\$2,208.40	\$6,834.20
Area 4	Dickinson	NPS_NPS	\$19,365.00	\$5,862.31	\$4,681.50	\$8,821.19
Area 4	Doniphan	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$16,503.23	\$0.00	\$443.77
Area 4	Douglas	NPS_NPS	\$18,156.00	\$13,701.60	\$3,000.00	\$1,454.40
Area 4	Franklin	NPS_NPS	\$19,365.00	\$13,192.00	\$5,711.73	\$461.27
Area 4	Geary	NPS_NPS	\$18,156.00	\$12,883.86	\$5,250.00	\$22.14
Area 4	Jackson	NPS_NPS	\$23,756.62	\$20,498.40	\$0.00	\$3,258.22
Area 4	Jefferson	NPS_NPS	\$20,574.00	\$7,900.00	\$1,900.00	\$10,774.00
Area 4	Johnson	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$560.00	\$2,200.00	\$14,187.00
Area 4	Leavenworth	NPS_NPS	\$19,365.00	\$15,575.00	\$3,550.00	\$240.00
Area 4	Marshall	NPS_NPS	\$17,753.00	\$12,753.00	\$5,000.00	\$0.00
Area 4	Miami	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$12,715.00	\$1,884.96	\$2,347.04
Area 4	Morris	NPS_NPS	\$19,365.00	\$18,421.40	\$0.00	\$943.60
Area 4	Morris	TWINL_NPS	\$24,411.00	\$16,322.00	\$8,010.60	\$78.40
Area 4	Nemaha	NPS_NPS	\$20,574.00	\$15,389.75	\$5,184.25	\$0.00
Area 4	Osage	NPS_NPS	\$20,574.00	\$14,215.00	\$0.00	\$6,359.00
Area 4	Pottawatomie	NPS_NPS	\$20,574.00	\$10,490.34	\$1,949.00	\$8,134.66

Area	County	Fund	Allocation	Committed	Paid	Uncommitted
Area 4	Riley	NPS_NPS	\$20,574.00	\$9,224.00	\$11,350.00	\$0.00
Area 4	Shawnee	NPS_NPS	\$20,574.00	\$8,986.95	\$10,000.00	\$1,587.05
Area 4	Wabaunsee	NPS_NPS	\$20,574.00	\$7,141.40	\$4,489.00	\$8,943.60
Area 4	Washington	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$14,666.80	\$1,515.60	\$764.60
Area 4	Washington	PEAT_NPS	\$36,746.40	\$32,840.80	\$3,905.60	\$0.00
Area 4	Washington	TWINL_NPS	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 4	Wyandotte	NPS_NPS	\$9,857.00	\$700.00	\$8,100.00	\$1,057.00
Area 4	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	NPS_NPS	\$431,412.62	\$266,736.78	\$80,974.44	\$83,701.40
Area 4	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	PEAT_NPS	\$36,746.40	\$32,840.80	\$3,905.60	\$0.00
Area 4	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	TWINL_NPS	\$24,411.00	\$16,322.00	\$8,010.60	\$78.40
<b>Area 4</b>	<b>Area Total</b>		<b>\$492,570.02</b>	<b>\$315,899.58</b>	<b>\$92,890.64</b>	<b>\$83,779.80</b>
Area 5	Allen	NPS_NPS	\$15,738.00	\$12,738.00	\$3,000.00	\$0.00
Area 5	Anderson	NPS_NPS	\$15,738.00	\$9,975.00	\$0.00	\$5,763.00
Area 5	Bourbon	NPS_NPS	\$15,738.00	\$4,296.26	\$1,711.52	\$9,730.22
Area 5	Butler	NPS_NPS	\$19,365.00	\$5,148.15	\$1,972.26	\$12,244.59
Area 5	Chase	NPS_NPS	\$21,783.00	\$21,783.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 5	Chautauqua	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$16,947.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 5	Cherokee	NPS_NPS	\$19,365.00	\$0.00	\$15,863.90	\$3,501.10
Area 5	Cherokee	POULTRY_NPS	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
Area 5	Coffey	NPS_NPS	\$19,365.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19,365.00
Area 5	Cowley	AFO_NPS	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 5	Cowley	NPS_NPS	\$19,365.00	\$19,365.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 5	Crawford	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$6,300.00	\$10,500.00	\$147.00
Area 5	Elk	NPS_NPS	\$20,574.00	\$11,877.25	\$0.00	\$8,696.75
Area 5	Greenwood	NPS_NPS	\$20,574.00	\$19,708.55	\$0.00	\$865.45
Area 5	Labette	AFO_NPS	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 5	Labette	NPS_NPS	\$17,976.00	\$16,896.00	\$0.00	\$1,080.00
Area 5	Linn	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$7,899.10	\$9,047.90	\$0.00
Area 5	Lyon	NPS_NPS	\$21,783.00	\$17,333.00	\$0.00	\$4,450.00
Area 5	Marion	NPS_NPS	\$19,365.00	\$14,202.09	\$4,991.80	\$171.11
Area 5	Montgomery	NPS_NPS	\$19,365.00	\$16,532.55	\$0.00	\$2,832.45
Area 5	Neosho	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$6,260.40	\$3,000.00	\$7,686.60
Area 5	Wilson	NPS_NPS	\$16,947.00	\$0.00	\$7,786.00	\$9,161.00
Area 5	Woodson	AFO_NPS	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 5	Woodson	NPS_NPS	\$15,738.00	\$12,430.00	\$0.00	\$3,308.00
Area 5	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	AFO_NPS	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 5	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	NPS_NPS	\$366,567.00	\$219,691.35	\$57,873.38	\$89,002.27
Area 5	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	POULTRY_NPS	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,000.00
<b>Area 5</b>	<b>Area Total</b>		<b>\$397,567.00</b>	<b>\$249,691.35</b>	<b>\$57,873.38</b>	<b>\$90,002.27</b>
	<b>Budget Total</b>		<b>\$1,817,711.02</b>	<b>\$1,014,991.71</b>	<b>\$402,787.50</b>	<b>\$399,931.81</b>

## FY 2015 WR AREA REPORT

Area	County	Fund	Allocation	Committed	Paid	Uncommitted
Area 1	Cheyenne	DNA_WR	\$20,938.00	\$7,459.74	\$13,478.26	\$0.00
Area 1	Decatur	DNA_WR	\$20,196.00	\$13,647.50	\$4,794.63	\$1,753.87
Area 1	Ellis	DNA_WR	\$20,938.00	\$8,680.99	\$4,777.00	\$7,480.01
Area 1	Gove	DNA_WR	\$20,938.00	\$20,938.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 1	Graham	DNA_WR	\$20,196.00	\$17,496.00	\$2,700.00	\$0.00
Area 1	Logan	DNA_WR	\$20,196.00	\$13,903.36	\$6,096.64	\$196.00
Area 1	Norton	DNA_WR	\$20,196.00	\$7,132.50	\$11,592.40	\$1,471.10
Area 1	Osborne	DNA_WR	\$20,938.00	\$15,000.00	\$2,877.21	\$3,060.79
Area 1	Phillips	DNA_WR	\$20,938.00	\$3,289.86	\$0.00	\$17,648.14
Area 1	Rawlins	DNA_WR	\$20,938.00	\$7,960.29	\$12,765.32	\$212.39
Area 1	Rooks	DNA_WR	\$20,196.00	\$16,696.00	\$3,500.00	\$0.00
Area 1	Russell	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$13,239.33	\$6,214.67	\$0.00
Area 1	Sheridan	DNA_WR	\$20,196.00	\$12,523.65	\$3,891.35	\$3,781.00
Area 1	Sherman	DNA_WR	\$20,938.00	\$20,190.50	\$0.00	\$747.50
Area 1	Smith	DNA_WR	\$20,196.00	\$13,056.78	\$7,139.22	\$0.00
Area 1	Thomas	DNA_WR	\$20,938.00	\$13,208.02	\$7,729.98	\$0.00
Area 1	Trego	DNA_WR	\$21,197.92	\$11,047.25	\$10,150.67	\$0.00
Area 1	Wallace	DNA_WR	\$20,196.00	\$15,196.00	\$5,000.00	\$0.00
Area 1	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	DNA_WR	\$369,723.92	\$230,665.77	\$102,707.35	\$36,350.80
Area 1	<b>Area Total</b>		\$369,723.92	\$230,665.77	\$102,707.35	\$36,350.80
Area 2	Clark	DNA_WR	\$21,680.00	\$21,680.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 2	Comanche	DNA_WR	\$20,938.00	\$17,369.80	\$3,399.60	\$168.60
Area 2	Edwards	DNA_WR	\$17,970.00	\$7,151.80	\$10,818.20	\$0.00
Area 2	Finney	DNA_WR	\$22,422.00	\$13,500.00	\$0.00	\$8,922.00
Area 2	Ford	DNA_WR	\$20,938.00	\$16,006.90	\$965.41	\$3,965.69
Area 2	Grant	DNA_WR	\$18,712.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$18,712.00
Area 2	Gray	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$11,981.30	\$1,887.50	\$5,585.20
Area 2	Greeley	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$19,442.07	\$0.00	\$11.93
Area 2	Hamilton	DNA_WR	\$20,196.00	\$15,196.00	\$5,000.00	\$0.00
Area 2	Haskell	DNA_WR	\$20,196.00	\$1,780.84	\$70.49	\$18,344.67
Area 2	Hodgeman	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$7,345.10	\$5,000.00	\$7,108.90
Area 2	Kearny	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$4,000.00	\$8,000.00	\$7,454.00
Area 2	Kiowa	DNA_WR	\$18,712.00	\$5,852.00	\$9,936.75	\$2,923.25
Area 2	Lane	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$9,719.25	\$4,000.00	\$5,734.75
Area 2	Meade	DNA_WR	\$20,938.00	\$20,933.63	\$0.00	\$4.37
Area 2	Morton	DNA_WR	\$18,712.00	\$5,000.00	\$0.00	\$13,712.00
Area 2	Ness	DNA_WR	\$21,680.00	\$12,530.60	\$4,000.00	\$5,149.40
Area 2	Pawnee	DNA_WR	\$20,196.00	\$20,196.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 2	Rush	DNA_WR	\$20,196.00	\$8,470.96	\$3,000.00	\$8,725.04
Area 2	Scott	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$15,221.74	\$0.00	\$4,232.26
Area 2	Seward	DNA_WR	\$18,812.24	\$8,000.00	\$6,964.51	\$3,847.73
Area 2	Stanton	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	\$9,454.00
Area 2	Stevens	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$12,480.00	\$6,974.00	\$0.00

Area	County	Fund	Allocation	Committed	Paid	Uncommitted
Area 2	Wichita	DNA_WR	\$20,196.00	\$9,549.92	\$0.00	\$10,646.08
Area 2	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	DNA_WR	\$478,126.24	\$273,407.91	\$70,016.46	\$134,701.87
Area 2	<b>Area Total</b>		\$478,126.24	\$273,407.91	\$70,016.46	\$134,701.87
Area 3	Barber	DNA_WR	\$21,680.00	\$16,680.00	\$5,000.00	\$0.00
Area 3	Barton	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$11,400.20	\$6,710.86	\$1,342.94
Area 3	Cloud	DNA_WR	\$18,712.00	\$12,258.62	\$2,962.00	\$3,491.38
Area 3	Ellsworth	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$9,179.13	\$10,130.71	\$144.16
Area 3	Harper	DNA_WR	\$20,196.00	\$9,733.03	\$10,201.60	\$261.37
Area 3	Harvey	DNA_WR	\$16,486.00	\$12,663.00	\$0.00	\$3,823.00
Area 3	Jewell	DNA_WR	\$20,579.14	\$8,947.20	\$9,819.33	\$1,812.61
Area 3	Kingman	DNA_WR	\$20,196.00	\$12,138.88	\$2,349.19	\$5,707.93
Area 3	Lincoln	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$5,253.46	\$12,629.67	\$1,570.87
Area 3	McPherson	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$15,956.59	\$3,497.41	\$0.00
Area 3	Mitchell	DNA_WR	\$18,712.00	\$15,280.50	\$0.00	\$3,431.50
Area 3	Ottawa	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$9,486.48	\$9,967.52	\$0.00
Area 3	Pratt	DNA_WR	\$17,970.00	\$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$9,970.00
Area 3	Reno	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$9,598.24	\$5,831.33	\$4,024.43
Area 3	Republic	DNA_WR	\$17,970.00	\$4,731.00	\$13,239.00	\$0.00
Area 3	Rice	DNA_WR	\$17,970.00	\$6,458.91	\$4,500.00	\$7,011.09
Area 3	Saline	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$8,876.48	\$5,257.96	\$5,319.56
Area 3	Sedgwick	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$14,642.60	\$4,500.00	\$311.40
Area 3	Stafford	DNA_WR	\$17,970.00	\$11,220.00	\$5,500.00	\$1,250.00
Area 3	Sumner	DNA_WR	\$20,938.00	\$5,126.10	\$3,407.25	\$12,404.65
Area 3	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	DNA_WR	\$385,011.14	\$207,630.42	\$115,503.83	\$61,876.89
Area 3	<b>Area Total</b>		\$385,011.14	\$207,630.42	\$115,503.83	\$61,876.89
Area 4	Atchison	DNA_WR	\$17,228.00	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$2,228.00
Area 4	Brown	DNA_WR	\$17,970.00	\$17,970.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 4	Clay	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$6,457.50	\$3,704.40	\$9,292.10
Area 4	Dickinson	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$14,431.29	\$4,967.76	\$54.95
Area 4	Doniphan	DNA_WR	\$17,970.00	\$17,823.00	\$147.00	\$0.00
Area 4	Douglas	DNA_WR	\$17,228.00	\$17,228.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 4	Franklin	DNA_WR	\$17,228.00	\$14,587.60	\$2,640.40	\$0.00
Area 4	Gearly	DNA_WR	\$16,486.00	\$10,626.04	\$0.00	\$5,859.96
Area 4	Jackson	DNA_WR	\$17,970.00	\$17,970.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 4	Jefferson	DNA_WR	\$17,228.00	\$14,215.35	\$3,012.65	\$0.00
Area 4	Johnson	DNA_WR	\$16,486.00	\$10,869.50	\$0.00	\$5,616.50
Area 4	Leavenworth	DNA_WR	\$17,228.00	\$15,350.00	\$0.00	\$1,878.00
Area 4	Marshall	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$18,179.00	\$1,275.00	\$0.00
Area 4	Miami	DNA_WR	\$17,228.00	\$0.00	\$13,855.22	\$3,372.78
Area 4	Morris	DNA_WR	\$17,970.00	\$12,884.00	\$5,086.00	\$0.00
Area 4	Morris	TWINL_WR	\$29,326.88	\$17,212.45	\$6,518.40	\$5,596.03
Area 4	Nemaha	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$13,513.70	\$2,500.00	\$3,440.30
Area 4	Osage	110M_WR	\$64,595.00	\$64,595.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 4	Osage	DNA_WR	\$17,228.00	\$8,923.10	\$0.00	\$8,304.90
Area 4	Pottawatomie	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$19,454.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Area	County	Fund	Allocation	Committed	Paid	Uncommitted
Area 4	Riley	DNA_WR	\$17,228.00	\$8,850.20	\$7,863.00	\$514.80
Area 4	Shawnee	DNA_WR	\$16,486.00	\$16,486.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 4	Wabaunsee	DNA_WR	\$17,970.00	\$15,766.50	\$0.00	\$2,203.50
Area 4	Washington	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$19,454.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 4	Washington	PEAT_WR	\$18,820.93	\$11,192.80	\$5,479.63	\$2,148.50
Area 4	Wyandotte	DNA_WR	\$16,915.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,915.00
Area 4	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	110M_WR	\$64,595.00	\$64,595.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 4	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	DNA_WR	\$410,771.00	\$306,038.78	\$45,051.43	\$59,680.79
Area 4	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	PEAT_WR	\$18,820.93	\$11,192.80	\$5,479.63	\$2,148.50
Area 4	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	TWINL_WR	\$29,326.88	\$17,212.45	\$6,518.40	\$5,596.03
Area 4	<b>Area Total</b>		\$523,513.81	\$399,039.03	\$57,049.46	\$67,425.32
Area 5	Allen	DNA_WR	\$15,744.00	\$15,743.48	\$0.00	\$0.52
Area 5	Anderson	DNA_WR	\$15,744.00	\$6,825.00	\$3,354.75	\$5,564.25
Area 5	Bourbon	DNA_WR	\$15,744.00	\$1,725.12	\$10,253.21	\$3,765.67
Area 5	Butler	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$3,789.72	\$1,569.00	\$14,095.28
Area 5	Chase	DNA_WR	\$17,228.00	\$16,992.13	\$0.00	\$235.87
Area 5	Chautauqua	DNA_WR	\$16,486.00	\$15,065.31	\$0.00	\$1,420.69
Area 5	Cherokee	DNA_WR	\$15,002.00	\$7,695.05	\$6,233.68	\$1,073.27
Area 5	Coffey	DNA_WR	\$17,228.00	\$17,228.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Area 5	Cowley	DNA_WR	\$19,454.00	\$17,259.22	\$0.00	\$2,194.78
Area 5	Crawford	DNA_WR	\$15,744.00	\$7,939.00	\$913.50	\$6,891.50
Area 5	Elk	DNA_WR	\$16,486.00	\$8,722.30	\$7,763.70	\$0.00
Area 5	Greenwood	DNA_WR	\$17,970.00	\$14,573.86	\$0.00	\$3,396.14
Area 5	Labette	DNA_WR	\$15,744.00	\$10,810.00	\$4,173.95	\$760.05
Area 5	Linn	DNA_WR	\$17,719.05	\$10,132.59	\$7,586.46	\$0.00
Area 5	Lyon	DNA_WR	\$17,970.00	\$9,740.77	\$330.75	\$7,898.48
Area 5	Marion	DNA_WR	\$18,712.00	\$10,300.10	\$8,411.90	\$0.00
Area 5	Montgomery	DNA_WR	\$15,744.00	\$11,822.84	\$3,921.16	\$0.00
Area 5	Neosho	DNA_WR	\$15,744.00	\$8,573.00	\$4,761.00	\$2,410.00
Area 5	Wilson	DNA_WR	\$16,486.00	\$15,729.20	\$560.00	\$196.80
Area 5	Woodson	DNA_WR	\$15,744.00	\$6,869.10	\$0.00	\$8,874.90
Area 5	<b>Area Fund Total</b>	DNA_WR	\$336,147.05	\$217,535.79	\$59,833.06	\$58,778.20
Area 5	<b>Area Total</b>		\$336,147.05	\$217,535.79	\$59,833.06	\$58,778.20
	<b>Budget Total</b>		\$2,092,522.16	\$1,328,278.92	\$405,110.16	\$359,133.08



SCC Meeting – November 23, 2014

Wichita, Kansas

### **Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative Update**

- There are currently 2197 buffer contracts being serviced. The majority of new contracts are being written after the fact where the locals were not aware that the project was eligible for the buffer initiative when the CRP contract was approved.
- It takes a great amount of staff time locally and at the DOC to keep the landowner information current so that the annual payment goes to the correct landowner.
- Areas eligible for the buffer initiative are all TMDL watersheds and the watersheds above the 20 federal reservoirs utilized for public water supply.

<b>Fiscal Year</b>	<b>Expired Contracts</b>	<b>Acres Expiring</b>	<b>Payments</b>
2015	174	1189	\$31,387
2016	216	1428	\$33,182
2017	224	1491	\$33,785
2018	248	1567	\$38,463
2019	169	1002	\$25,930
<b>Total</b>	<b>1031</b>	<b>6677</b>	<b>\$162,747</b>

- DOC staff recommendation would be to modify the Rules and Regulations of the Kansas Water Quality Buffer Initiative to change from a rental payment system to making a one-time signing incentive payment to landowners in targeted watersheds. The one-time signing incentive payment amount and targeted watersheds would be determined by the SCC Board of Commissioners. This would also ease the administrative work load over the long run.
- The targeted watersheds could possibly mirror the watersheds in a Water Quality Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP) if one is developed and approved.

# Initial Preliminary Report

## Irrigation Water Probe Sensor Demonstration Project

Principal Investigator: Jonathan Aguilar,  
Water Resource Engineer, K-State SWREC,  
Garden City, KS

### Introduction

Groundwater declines in the Ogallala portion of the High Plains aquifer are of great concern since it is the primary water source for many regions of southern High Plains states. Water management efforts to promote advances in irrigation technology research are vital components to conserve and extend the life of this non-renewable resource. One way in which farmers could effectively manage water is through irrigation scheduling, either based on soil water content or evapotranspiration rate. It is not only vital that producers understand the advantage of technologies, but equally important is acknowledging its limitations. Nevertheless, the bigger challenge is how to encourage adoption of proven conservation practices among the water using community of landowners and farm operators. It is hypothesized that individuals are more likely to adopt new practices which were tested by their local neighbors than those promoted solely by academic partners.

### Approach

The role of K-State in this demonstration project was to validate the data generated and assess performance of the whole set-up. The approach for the demonstration was to install soil moisture sensors in 10 different irrigated fields (online map accessible at <http://bit.ly/1s8fnD1>) and manage the irrigation schedule based on these sensor feedbacks (<http://portal.stepspro.com/login.html>). The main objective is to compute the water saved using this technology compared to a similar unmonitored field. These fields were identified to represent loam, clay loam and sandy soils to ascertain the performance of the soil moisture sensors. For comparison, an adjacent field was selected and paired with the same corn crop and soil type for each of the monitored irrigated fields (although we ended up identifying only nine available fields). In each of the monitored fields, K-State installed neutron access tubes adjacent (>5 feet) to the soil sensors and we measured the soil moisture every week using neutron probes. Outside the center pivot of each monitored fields, K-State monitored the rainfall amount and evapotranspiration rate using an Irrigage and ETGage respectively. Measurements were done in almost weekly basis.

### Preliminary Observations

In general, the 2014 cropping season was more on the average normal year. Total ET measured was 22-28 inches and the total precipitation was 9-12 inches (Table 1). We have yet to receive the actual water use and yield data from the cooperator.

Table 1. Summary of the precipitation, ET, irrigation, and yield data.

Field Name	Rainfall (in)	ET (in)	Irrigation (in)**		Yield (bu/ac)**	
			w/ sensors	No sensors	w/ sensors	No sensors
Meade 1	10.10	26.55				
Meade 2	10.60	26.51				
Meade 3	11.00	27.35				
Meade 6	10.60	26.47				
Seward 3	11.25	Malfunctioned				
Plains 1	9.63	21.85				
Wilson 5	9.18	26.17				
Seward H17	10.43	27.65				
Seward H4	8.98*	Malfunctioned				
Seward H2	12.10	24.00				

\*Rain gage bent and bottle broken during one visit

\*\*data still with the cooperator

Based on the field observations and initial data analyzed, there were still opportunities during the cropping season to improve the irrigation management of the cooperator. Figures 1 and 2, for example, show the overall soil moisture curve of two monitored fields. It is evident from these graphs that the cooperator did not allow his fields to go below the designated stress level and continued to irrigate maintaining an almost full soil profile. In all but one of our visits, the measurement of soil moisture on these fields did not go below 50% at the 6 inches down to 5 feet depth. The crop advisor recognized this situation and was advising the producer to delay irrigation for several days as reflected in the notes. However, the graph would show that irrigation water was applied usually earlier than the suggested number of days of delay.

Because the soil profile was always full, the root system did not mine deeper for water into the soil profile as evidenced by the significant water extraction around the moisture sensor at the 16 inches depth. This pattern was very evident in Figure 2 where at the early stage, water extraction was relatively high at the 8-inch depth, then later at the 16-inch depth.

Initial interpretation of this data suggests that the producer did not have enough confidence yet to rely solely on the soil moisture probes in making his management decisions. When risks are involved, producers almost always favor the safe side. I showed these initial observations at the GMD3 board meeting and the WERA-1022 Annual Meeting (a multi-state committee on irrigation scheduling), and got feedbacks that indeed it takes a while before the producer gain the confidence to rely on these types of technology. In particular, Dr. Suat Irmak of University of Nebraska-Lincoln, a major advocate of soil moisture sensors in Nebraska, relayed his experience that it usually takes a year or two for the producers to fully trust the soil moisture feedback in their management decisions. Fortunately, this project demonstration is a 3-year project that hopefully would go past the initial normal reaction of the cooperator.

All the other data are not yet compiled and analyzed since we have yet to meet with the cooperator and Servi-Tech for the post-season assessment. The data presented here are just example of the opportunities for improvement. There were fields were soil

moisture level went down to the designated stress levels and may have realized some water savings. It would be interesting to see what the actual water uses are in the monitored fields in comparison with the unmonitored fields.




Figure 1. Soil moisture curve of a corn field (Meade 2) with clay loam soil for the whole cropping season of 2014.




Figure 2. Soil moisture curve of a corn field (Seward H17) with loam to sandy loam soil for the whole cropping season of 2014.

### Challenges and Recommendations

To fully understand all the parameters interacting with this system, there are other information needed to gather. These are as follows:

- Calibrations of the neutron probe and soil moisture sensor for the specific soil type. This entails frequent gravimetric soil sampling.
- Uniformity test of the center pivot and sprinkler package primarily to quantify the application rate. In addition, there is a need to monitor the actual application rate of the irrigation system during the season.

When I was requested to present this demonstration project to the KACD conferences, I got similar requests from other entities (e.g. GMD 3 and CPIA). I think the demonstration project is gaining publicity due to its relevance in the region. I would recommend including an extension component for this project to leverage on the relevance and timeliness of this project/concept.

As the Principal Investigator of this project, I did not realize the extent of K-State's involvement in this project until a week before the soil moisture sensors were installed in the field. Having said so, there was literally no budget to start with. Thankfully, through Gregg Foley, Steve Frost and Don Jones of KDA, a small working budget for 2014 was approved with the understanding that external funds would be sought for the succeeding years. A grant proposal was submitted on June to the USDA Ogallala Aquifer Program. Unfortunately, the proposal was not selected for this year's funding. Thus, an operating budget is requested for the remainder of the project.

Below is the summary of actual expenses incurred this year that could be rightfully associated to this project.

Travel (5,001 miles @ \$0.56/mi)	= \$ 2,801
Supplies (flags, aluminum pipes, etc.)	= \$ 1,000
Wage (8 hrs x 2/wk x 18wks x \$11/hr)	= \$ 3,168
	-----
	\$ 6,969



## **TENTATIVE 2015 SCC Spring Workshops**

**Area I** SCC Spring Workshop  
March 3, 2015  
American Legion Hall  
235 Main Street  
Grainfield, KS


**Area II** SCC Spring Workshop  
March 4, 2015  
KSU Experiment Station  
4500 E Mary Street  
Garden City, KS

**Area III** SCC Spring Workshop  
March 5, 2015  
NRCS Conference Center  
747 Duvall Avenue  
Salina, KS


**Area IV** SCC Spring Workshop  
March 10, 2015  
KDA Conference Room  
1320 Research Park Drive  
Manhattan, KS

**Area V** SCC Spring Workshop  
March 11, 2015  
Old Iron Club  
10392 Jade Road  
Fredonia, KS

# HUC 12/County Recommendations for SFY14 Conservation District TMDL Funding



- Milford Sampling Site SC649
- Pomona Sampling Site SC633
- Twin Lakes Sampling Site SC637



*The purpose of this publication is to illustrate general watershed conditions in the state of Kansas. This map product is provided without representation or implied or expressed warranty of accuracy and is intended for watershed planning purposes only. The originating agency is not responsible for publication or use of this product for any other purpose. This product may be corrected or updated as necessary without prior notification.*

**FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE:**

## Resolution No. 1: Fiscal Year 2016 and Fiscal Year 2017 Division of Conservation Budget

WHEREAS, financial and technical assistance needs have been identified in high priority Total Maximum Daily Load watersheds of the Kansas – Lower Republican, Lower Arkansas, Marais Des Cygnes, Missouri, Neosho, Upper Arkansas, Verdigris, Walnut, Smoky Hill/Saline, Upper Republican, Cimarron, and Solomon River Basins; and

WHEREAS, a need exists to improve and sustain the State's rivers, streams and aquifers with conservation grants; and

WHEREAS, the State Water Plan has identified the priority watershed areas that need assistance to address non-point source pollution problems, reduce urban and rural flooding, and to reduce stream bank erosion and degradation of riparian areas; and

WHEREAS, conservation districts have established a need for cost-share incentives to address local concerns; and

WHEREAS, the conservation provisions of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (the 2014 Farm Bill) have increased the demand for conservation technical assistance; and

WHEREAS, a need for priority multipurpose small lakes projects, watershed dam construction and rehabilitation, restoration of water supply systems, and riparian and wetland protection was identified in the State Water Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Division of Conservation is responsible for carrying out programs of state assistance to conservation districts, state assistance in watershed dam construction, water resources cost-share, riparian and wetland protection, multipurpose small lakes, non-point pollution control, water quality buffer initiative, water rights purchase/water transition assistance, water supply restoration and surface mining land reclamation;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Kansas Association of Conservation Districts supports the Division of Conservation's FY 2016 and FY 2017 budget request as described below, and in addition, supports the Division's request for appropriation language allowing the carryover of funds from the current fiscal year to FY 2016; as well as the carryover of funds from FY 2016 to FY 2017;

	<b><u>FY 2016</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2017</u></b>
<b>STATE GENERAL FUNDS:</b>		
Administrative Operations	\$ <b>484,447</b>	\$ <b>503,622</b>
<b>STATE WATER PLAN SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS:</b>		
Aid to Conservation Districts	\$2,092,637	\$2,092,637
Water Resources Cost-Share	\$1,948,289	\$1,948,289
Water Supply Restoration	\$ 258,156	\$ 258,156
Non-Point Source Pollution	\$1,858,350	\$1,858,350
Watershed Dam Construction	\$ 576,434	\$ 576,434
Riparian and Wetland Protection	\$ 152,651	\$ 152,651
Water Quality Buffer Initiative	\$ 249,792	\$ 249,792
Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program\WTAP	\$ <u>449,577</u>	\$ <u>449,577</u>
Subtotal – State Water Plan Special Revenue Funds	<b><u>\$7,585,886</u></b>	<b><u>\$7,585,886</u></b>
<b>FEE FUNDS:</b>		
Land Reclamation Fee Funds	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000
Agricultural Liming Material Fee Funds	\$ <u>34,380</u>	\$ <u>35,140</u>
Subtotal – Fee Funds	<b><u>\$ 164,380</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 165,140</u></b>
<b>FEDERAL FUNDS:</b>		
Federal Grant Funds	\$ <b>181,280</b>	\$ <b>181,280</b>
<b>OTHER FUNDS:</b>		
Kansas Dept. of Health & Environment contract	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
Kansas Dept. of Wildlife, Parks & Tourism contract	\$ <u>75,000</u>	\$ <u>75,000</u>
Subtotal – Other Funds	<b><u>\$ 125,000</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 125,000</u></b>
<b>TOTAL - BUDGET REQUEST</b>	<b><u>\$8,527,993</u></b>	<b><u>\$8,528,753</u></b>

**SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET REQUEST FOR FY 2016 and FY 2017:**

The Kansas Association of Conservation Districts unilaterally advocates the Kansas Legislature to fully fund the Aid to Conservation Districts program as per K.S.A. 2-1907c. The 2015 annual budgets submitted by each district contain county certification of the amount allocated to each county for calendar year 2015. These budgets serve as the basis for the Division of Conservation's FY 2016 and FY 2017 State Aid to Conservation District request. The Kansas Association of Conservation Districts requests full funding of Aid to Conservation Districts in the amount of **\$297,917** for FY 2016 and **\$297,917** for FY 2017 to meet county conservation district budget needs.



United States Department of Agriculture

**NRCS HIGHLIGHTS OF ACTIVITIES  
for the  
STATE CONSERVATION COMMISSION  
WICHITA, KANSAS  
November 23, 2014**

**PERSONNEL**

Reassignments

and/or Promotions: Grover D. DePriest, Supervisory District Conservationist, Paola, to State Resource Conservationist, De Moines, Iowa  
 Josue D. Gandia-Rivera, Soil Conservationist, Lyons, to Soil Conservationist, Sandusky, Michigan  
 Theodore (Ted) E. Houser, Supervisory District Conservationist, Sharon Springs, to Area Resource Conservationist, Tribune  
 Curtis R. Janssen, Civil Engineer, Emporia Area Office, to State Conservation Engineer, State Office, Salina  
 Darla J. Juhl, Soil Conservationist, Osborne, to District Conservationist, Norton  
 Litza Y. Lopez-Ramos, Soil Conservationist, Great Bend, to Soil Conservationist, Flint, Michigan  
 Bernadette V. Luncsford, District Conservationist, St. Francis, to District Conservationist, Aiea, Hawaii  
 Travis A. Rome, Cartographer, Resources Staff, State Office, Salina, to Geospatial Business Analyst, Fort Collins, Colorado  
 Chad G. Volkman, Resource Conservationist, Programs Staff, State Office, Salina, to Cartographer, Resources Staff, State Office, Salina  
 Keith Williams, Soil Conservationist, Abilene, to District Conservationist, South Hutchinson  
 Bradley J. Younker, Agricultural Engineer, La Crosse, to Agricultural Engineer, Hutchinson Area Office

Resignations: Nicole L. Neary, Office Assistant, Manhattan Area Office

Retirements: Terry D. Hodgson, District Conservationist, Anthony  
 L. Doreen McDowell, Engineering Office Assistant, State Office, Salina

**OPERATIONS**

The 2014 Farm Bill requires that the Farm Service Agency (FSA), the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), and Rural Development (RD) issue a Receipt for Service to all "current or prospective producers or landowners" when a U.S. Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation Service  
 760 South Broadway Boulevard  
 Salina, Kansas 67401-4604

Phone: 785-823-4500  
 FAX: 855-533-5070  
[www.ks.nrcs.usda.gov](http://www.ks.nrcs.usda.gov)

*Helping People Help the Land*  
 An Equal Opportunity Provider and Employer

(USDA) benefit or service is requested. These agencies worked together to design a Web-based format for staff to record customer information and issue receipts for services. Receipts will be issued when a customer contacts the agency staff in-person, by email, fax, or mail. In many situations, customers are routinely provided with copies of forms or documents in delivery of USDA benefits and services, and these copies serve as a receipt.

Administrative Transformation is still moving forward to set up national service delivery teams to handle administrative functions within NRCS. Currently, employees are being detailed to the national teams until permanent positions be filled. National teams are currently in place to handle all actions for hiring, contracting, employee travel/relocation, reimbursable agreements, and vehicle/property management. A team to handle accounts payable actions will begin national service in January. Kansas has six employees working on these details.

The local support structure is also moving forward as part of this Administrative Transformation process. This local support will combine the Assistant State Conservationist for Operations and the State Administrative Officer positions along with the duties of each. Seventeen states have advertised these new lead positions and most have made selections. Kansas will start the process of filling this new lead position shortly after the first of the calendar year.

## **PROGRAMS**

### **Conservation Security Program (CSP)**

- Annual payments are currently being made. For participants wanting to receive their annual payment in calendar year 2014, payment must be certified and approved by December 5, 2014.

### **Conservation Stewardship Program (CStP)**

- Annual payments are currently being made. For participants wanting to receive their annual payment in calendar year 2014, payment must be certified and approved by December 5, 2014.
- Kansas currently has 569 contracts eligible to be renewed. Enhancements must be maintained from the old contract under the renewed contract.
  - Renewed contracts will begin January 1, 2015, and run for five years.
  - Participants interested in renewing must have their contracts obligated by December 31, 2014.
  - The interim final rule for CSP has been published and is in the commenting phase.

### **Easement Programs**

- **Agricultural Conservation Easement Program-Agricultural Land Easements (ACEP-ALE) and Agricultural Conservation Easement Program-Wetland Reserve Easements (ACEP-WRE)**
  - Kansas received \$4.7 million in FY 2014 for ACEP funding.
  - Fourteen ACEP-WRE offers accepted. Currently working on completing easement boundary surveys.

- Three eligible entities were approved for funding through ACEP-ALE Grasslands of Special Environmental Significance (GSS) in FY 2014. Acres total 3,896.
- **Farm and Ranch Lands Protection Program (FRPP)**  
Assistance and reviews are being provided to Cooperating Entities as they work on various steps toward closing on conservation easements. Fourteen parcels still to be closed on through the FRPP.
- **Wetlands Reserve Program (WRP)**
  - All WRP enrollments in Kansas are now closed and recorded at the appropriate county courthouse.
  - Workload is now focused on backlog of restoration work that is needed. There are 24 projects that need restoration/construction completed.

### **Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP)**

- The application cutoff for fiscal year (FY) 2015 General EQIP is November 21, 2014.
- **EQIP—Initiatives**
  - FY2015 guidance has been received from NRCS national headquarters for the following EQIP Initiatives. Coordination is ongoing at the state level to release the initiatives in the near future.
    - ≈ National On-Farm Energy Initiative
    - ≈ National Seasonal High Tunnel Initiative
    - ≈ National Organic Initiative
    - ≈ National Water Quality Initiative
  - News releases will be issued 30 days prior to the announcement of the application cutoff.

### **Regional Conservation Partnership Program (RCPP)**

- NRCS originally informed applicants they would be notified in November. However, the agency is still in the review and selection process.
- An updated status on proposals will come in early December.
- Kansas had 2 pre-proposals invited to submit a full proposal and one national pre-proposal was invited to submit a full proposal.
- Proposals have been further evaluated using the following criteria:
  - Addressing resource concerns and measuring outcomes
  - Delivering high percentages of applied conservation to address conservation priorities or local, State, regional, or national conservation initiatives
  - Significant leverage of non-Federal financial and technical resources
  - Coordination with other local, State, regional, or national efforts
  - Innovation in conservation methods and delivery
  - Assisting producers in meeting or avoiding the need for a natural resource regulatory requirement
- The Chief of NRCS will make the final project selections for the Critical Conservation Areas (CCA) and National pools based on the recommendations provided to him by the NRCS review team. State Conservationists will make final determinations for state pool projects.
- **After** the project selection announcement, NRCS will work with selected applicants to determine the final funding level and program designation that works best for individual projects. Keeping the momentum going, NRCS will announce the next round of RCPP

funding availability early next year.

### **Watershed Rehabilitation Program Activities**

- Task orders are being prepared and will be delivered to EA Engineering for watershed rehabilitation planning on three sites located in Butler County—one in Little Walnut Hickory Watershed, one in Muddy Creek Watershed, and one in Rock Creek Watershed.
- Kansas NRCS received a total of \$1,751,000 for FY2014. Funds were authorized for technical assistance associated with prior year watershed rehabilitation activities and five rehabilitation-planning projects. NRCS is working with four watershed districts through Project Agreements and Reimbursable Agreements to initiate the Rehabilitation Planning process during this first quarter of FY15.
- The Spring Creek Watershed District in Sedgwick County initiated rehabilitation construction of their R-1 Dam near Garden Plain, Kansas, in mid-July. Construction is well ahead of schedule and currently under budget with completion expected in January 2015.
- Watershed districts and local NRCS field offices continue working to conduct annual inspections on all NRCS-assisted flood control structures. To date, 503 of the 751 dam inspections have been completed and submitted to Salina State Office during calendar year 2014.

### **Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program (WHIP)**

- Not authorized in the 2014 Farm Bill
- The new farm bill does require 5% of EQIP funds nationally to be obligated to contracts benefiting wildlife.
- Active WHIP contracts from previous Farm Bills will be honored

## **TECHNOLOGY**

- A Planning and Applying Conservation Practices Workshop was held in Salina October 6-10, 2014. The workshop was primarily for training newer NRCS employees, but was also attended by some DOC technicians as well and was deemed as very successful. Another session is planned in Manhattan for the spring of 2015.
- NRCS state office engineering staff continues to do breach inundation modeling for PL-566 watershed dams as part of updating dam hazard classifications. This has provided valuable information concerning individual watershed dams to watershed districts, landowners, counties, and others.

### **Resource Conservation Activities**

- Soil and Water Resources Conservation Act (RCA)—The State Resource Conservationist participated in a roundtable discussion at the Southern Plains Region RCA meeting. The session is one of a series of discussions planned across the nation this fall. Established by law in 1977, the RCA requires USDA to gather public input on natural resources conservation policy issues. The goal is to safeguard the nation's natural resources to meet current and future agricultural needs, improve delivery of conservation services to landowners and communities, and expand participation in conservation programs. Discussion addressed three core topics: water resource management, soil health, and the

resilience of soil and water resources to climate change and extreme weather events.

Presentations by regional experts will be followed by group discussions of regional resource problems and, most importantly, potential solutions to these problems.

- Resource staff continues to provide technical assistance across the state providing information and demonstrations on the importance in making improvement in soil health on crop, range, and pasture.
- The resources staff recently invited Dallas Peterson, Kansas State University Department of Agronomy Weed Management Specialist, to present information to NRCS area staff regarding herbicide resistant weeds including distribution, concerns, and appropriate control mechanisms.
- The Plant Materials Center will be focusing on soil health studies as part of the national restructuring of the PMCs.
- Resources staff continues to provide technical assistance, training, and coordination to field staff and agencies regarding the lesser prairie chicken and other broad landscape species of concern.

## **OUTREACH**

### **Outreach Events**

NRCS staffed a booth at the Wichita Farm Show, November 10-12, 2014.

### **News Releases**

- NRCS Announces Deadline for EQIP funding
- Kansas NRCS Receives \$3.8 Million through Agricultural Conservation Easement Program (ACEP)
- USDA Awards Funds to Improve Conservation on Agricultural Lands in Kansas.  
The Conservation Innovation Grant (CIG) program has a national and state component that offers grants to improve conservation on agricultural lands in Kansas. The CIGs in Kansas include:
  - Kansas State University: Received \$230,618 to demonstrate interactions between reduced tillage, soil water storage, and nutrient leaching under water limited irrigated cropping systems.
  - Oklahoma State University (Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas): Received \$872,044 to adopt on-farm soil health management systems for the southern plains.

The CIG state level recipient:

Kansas Alliance for Wetlands and Streams: Received \$49,978 to adopt a multi-front approach to increase cover crops.





Illinois Indiana Iowa Michigan Minnesota Missouri North Dakota Ohio Wisconsin Ontario



Home

About Us

History

Mission and vision

Supporters

MCCC meetings

Cover Crop Resources

Cover crop species

Cover crop selector tools

Innovator profiles

Extension material

Publications

Multimedia

Links

Slurry seeding

Survey...coming soon

Calendar of Events

Upcoming events

Past events

Contact

Executive committee

Subscribe to listserv

## WHAT ARE COVER CROPS?

Cover crops are plants seeded into agricultural fields, either within or outside of the regular growing season, with the primary purpose of improving or maintaining ecosystem quality.

The goal of the *Midwest Cover Crops Council* (MCCC) is to facilitate widespread adoption of cover crops throughout the Midwest, to improve ecological, economic, and social sustainability.

## WHAT DO COVER CROPS DO FOR THE ENVIRONMENT?

- Enhance biodiversity
- Increase soil infiltration, leading to less flooding, leaching, and runoff
- Create wildlife habitat
- Attract honey bees and beneficial insects

## WHAT DO COVER CROPS DO FOR FARMERS?

- Reduce erosion
- Improve soil quality, through increases in
  - Porosity (reduced compaction)
  - Soil organic matter
  - Water holding capacity
  - Beneficial microbes
  - Micro- and macro-invertebrates
- Retain nutrients that would otherwise be lost
- Add nitrogen through fixation (leguminous cover crops)
- Combat weeds
- Break disease cycles

## NEWS

The MCCC is hiring a [Program Manager](#), please visit the link for details!

2015 MCCC Meeting  
[Save the Date!](#)  
February 17-18, 2015  
West Des Moines, IA

Updated [NRCS cover crop termination guidelines](#) now available.

Cover Crops Field Guide from MCCC & Purdue University



- [About the guide](#)
- [Order your copy now!](#)

Midwest Cover Crops Council



**CONSERVATION DISTRICT  
NEW EMPLOYEE TRAINING IN THE PREVIOUS YEAR**

**REPORT TO THE STATE CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

Dave Jones

11/23/2014

<u>EMPLOYEE NAME</u>	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>DATE OF TRAINING</u>	<u>Trainer</u>
Chris Griffin	District Mgr.	Doniphan	12/6/2013	Jones
Cassie Burkhart	District Mgr.	Edwards	2/11/2014	Jones
Heather Brummer	District Mgr.	Comanche	2/12/2014	Jones
Angela Allen	District Mgr.	Saline	2/13/2014	Jones
Dee Dee Swanson	District Mgr.	Montgomery	3/6/2014	Jones
Sarah Bryant	District Mgr.	Cowley	4/2/2014	Jones
Lesley Rigney	District Mgr.	Miami	4/15/2014	Jones
Mary Gatton	District Mgr.	Stafford	4/29/2014	Jones
Teresa Mandeville	District Mgr.	Harper	4/30/2014	Jones
Kelly Hughes	District Mgr.	Greenwood	5/22/2014	Jones
Niki Tollefson	District Mgr.	Brown	6/25/2014	Jones
Heather Fairchild	District Mgr.	Rawlins	7/30/2014	Jones
Christine Kirkpatrick	District Mgr.	Stafford	8/12/2014	Jones
Casie McAtee	District Mgr.	Meade	10/7/2014	Jones
Angie Martin	District Mgr.	Comanche	10/8/2014	Jones
Cindy Rorabaugh	District Mgr.	Smith	10/15/2014	Jones
Randy Winchester	District Mgr.	Douglas	10/29/2014	Meader/Thompson