# LEE SCHWALB

Counsel for Growth

### VIA ELECTRONIC TRANSMSSION

February 12, 2023

Hon. Matthew A. Spurgin Office of Administrative Hearings 1020 S. Kansas Avenue Topeka, KS 66612-1327

> Re: Application of the Cities of Hays, Kansas and Russell, Kansas for Approval to Transfer Water from Edwards County, Kansas Pursuant to the Kansas Water Transfer Act - OAH Case No. 23AG0003 AG

# Dear Judge Spurgin:

In a letter dated February 10, 2023, counsel for the City of Hays (the "City") requested that you convert the February 15, 2023 prehearing conference for the above referenced-matter to a hybrid Zoom/in-person format. We urge you to deny the City's request.

The prehearing conference cannot be held on a date that is less than 90 days after the required notice has been given. K.S.A. 82a-1503. Importantly, the statute additionally provides that "[a]ny person shall be permitted to appear and testify at any hearing under this act upon the terms and conditions determined by the presiding officer." *Id*.

Given the broad abiding interest attending this matter, it is reasonable to assume that others would attend the hearing in person if that option had been made available and publicly noticed. At this late date, however, it is not possible to provide notice of the opportunity consistent with applicable legal requirements. The City's request should be denied.

Sincerely yours,

Lee Schwalb, LLC

By Micah Schwalb, Esq.

Counsel to Water PACK and Edwards County, Kansas

720-773-4697 (o)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> K.S.A. 82a-1503(b); K.S.A. 77-517, 77-518; *Pierce v. Board of County Commissioners*, 200 Kan. 74, Syl. ¶ 5, 6, 434 P.2d 858 (1967) ("[w]here the names and addresses of adverse parties are known or easily ascertainable, notice of pending proceedings by publication service, alone, is not sufficient to satisfy the requirements of due process under the 14th Amendment to the federal Constitution or § 2 of the Bill of Rights of the Kansas Constitution."); *see also* Private Property Protection Act at K.S.A. 77-706(c); Eminent Domain Procedure Act at K.S.A. 26-503; and *Vazquez Diaz v. Commonwealth*, 487 Mass. 336, 342 (2021) (regarding whether use of Zoom satisfies due process protections).

From: <u>Micah Schwalb</u>

To: OAH; Hutton, Ronda [KDA]

Cc: Chuck Lee; Myndee Lee; Kramer, Stephanie [KDA]; Julie Voss; David M. Traster - Foulston Siefkin LLP

(dtraster@foulston.com); Buller, Daniel; Don Hoffman (donhoff@eaglecom.net); Mel Sauer; Ken Cole; Lynn

Preheim - Stinson Leonard Street LLP (lynn.preheim@stinson.com); Hansen, Christina J.; Ballinger, Pam

**Subject:** OAH Case No. 23AG0003 AG

**Date:** Sunday, February 12, 2023 10:25:39 AM

Attachments: Spurgin Judge 2.12.2023.pdf

**EXTERNAL**: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

#### Ladies and Gentlemen:

This email concerns OAH Case No. 23AG0003 AG. Please forward the enclosed correspondence to ALJ/Presiding Officer Spurgin. My contact information is set forth in the attached letter and below.

Be well, -Micah

## MICAH SCHWALB, ESQ.

LEE SCHWALB 720-773-0970 (o) 720-773-4697 (d)

counselforgrowth.com

This message and any attachments are for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments. Unless otherwise expressly indicated, any federal tax advice contained in this message is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding tax-related penalties under the IRC or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed in this correspondence.